TEXAS' CERTIFICATE OF MERIT STATUTE

Elliott Cooper Shabaz Nizami

15th Annual Construction Symposium



© 2020 This paper and/or presentation provides information on general legal issues. It is not intended to give advice on any specific legal matter or factual situation, and should not be construed as defining Cooper & Scully, P.C.'s position in a particular situation. Each case must be evaluated on its own facts. This information is not intended to create, an attorney-client relationship, and receipt of this information does not create same. Readers should not act on this information without receiving professional legal counsel tailored to their particular situation..

Texas' Certificate of Merit Statute

WHAT IS IT?

A statutory requirement that a claimant who raises a claim in litigation or arbitration <u>against a licensed or registered professional</u> by seeking recovery of damages, contribution, or indemnification* <u>arising out of the provision of professional services by the licensed or registered professional</u>, must file contemporaneously a supporting expert affidavit with any petition or other pleading which, for the first time, raises the claim(s) against certain licensed or registered design professionals.

A claimant's failure to file the affidavit in accordance with this section shall result in dismissal of the complaint against the defendant. This dismissal may be with prejudice.

* This statute does not apply to any suit or action for the payment of fees arising out of the provision of professional services.

Texas' Certificate of Merit Statute

WHAT IS ITS PURPOSE?

To provide a basis for the trial court to conclude early on in the litigation that the claimant's claims against the design professionals is frivolous or unmeritorious, allowing Defendants to save time and money.



Contemporaneous Filing Requirement

Certificate of Merit must be filed contemporaneously, except:

- ▶ Under Section 150.002, a plaintiff may receive an extension if the statute of limitations will expire within 10 days of the date of filing the petition AND, because of such time constraints, the plaintiff has alleged that an affidavit could not be prepared. In such cases, the plaintiff is allowed an extension of 30 days after filing to supplement the pleadings with a certificate of merit. The trial court may extend this deadline beyond 30 days for good cause and after a hearing.
- "Good cause" exception only applies if BOTH requirements are met.

Waiver of Certificate of Merit

- Because Section 150.002 imposes a mandatory, non-jurisdictional filing requirement, a defendant may waive its right to seek dismissal under the statute.
- Waiver is largely a matter of intent, and for implied waiver to be found through a party's actions, intent must be clearly demonstrated by the surrounding facts and circumstances.
- Evidence of waiver generally takes one of three forms:
 - (1) express renunciation of a known right;
 - (2) silence or inaction, coupled with knowledge of the known right, for such an unreasonable period of time as to indicate an intention to waive the right; or
 - (3) other conduct of the party knowingly possessing the right of such a nature as to mislead the opposite party into an honest belief that the waiver was intended or assented to.

Waiver of Certificate of Merit

Some factors considered by Courts:

- the moving party's degree of participation in discovery;
- whether the party sought affirmative action or judgment on the merits; and
- at what time during the judicial process the party sought dismissal.

Enacting 2003 Statute

 Originally enacted in 2003 as part of the Texas Legislature's tort reform efforts.

§150.002(a): In any action for damages alleging professional negligence by a design professional, the plaintiff shall be required to file with the complaint an affidavit of a third-party registered architect or licensed professional engineer competent to testify and practicing in the same area of practice as the defendant, which affidavit shall set forth specifically at least one negligent act, error, or omission claimed to exist and the factual basis for each claim. The third-party professional engineer or registered architect shall be licensed in this state and actively engaged in the practice of architecture or engineering.

§150.002(d): The plaintiff's failure to file the affidavit in accordance with Subsection (a) or (b) <u>may</u> result in dismissal with prejudice of the complaint against the defendant.

Enacting 2003 Statute - Scope

□ SCOPE OF STATUTE:

- Claims against registered architects and licensed professional engineers (both were defined as "Design Professionals" under the Code at that time).
- Regarding actions or claims "alleging professional negligence by a design professional"

2003 Statute – Qualified Affiant and Scope of Affidavit

□ AFFIANT QUALIFICATIONS:

- Third-party registered architect or licensed professional engineer;
- Competent to testify;
- Practicing in the same area of practice as the defendant;
- □ Licensed in Texas;
- Actively engaged in the practice of architecture or engineering

□ SCOPE OF AFFIDAVIT:

Required to "set forth specifically at least one negligent act, error, or omission claimed to exist and the factual basis for each claim"

Enacting 2003 Statute – Questions Raised

- □ What constitutes "the same practice area"?
 - Example: Can only a Geo-Tech Engineer provide an affidavit criticizing the work of another Geo-Tech Engineer? Or is it more broadly interpreted so as to allow any of type of engineer who possesses knowledge about Geo-Tech Engineering to offer an opinion on the Defendant's work?
- ☐ What does "any action" entail?
 - > Limited only to lawsuits filed in Court or Arbitrations too?
 - * Arbitration is a widely used form of dispute resolution in the construction industry. Limiting it just to lawsuits filed Court would provide Plaintiff's an escape from the statute's requirement.
- ☐ Is the Statutory definition of "Design Professional" limited just to individual architects or engineers; or whether it extends to the companies they were working for as well?

Questions such as these were left to be addressed by through the Texas court's interpretation of the statute

2005 Additions to Statute

- The Texas Legislature made the following changes to the Statute and provided some clarifications on the questions that arose after the Statute was enacted in 2003:
 - The 2003 version of §150.002 only applied to negligence actions. In 2005, §150.002 was expanded to any cause of action seeking damages "arising out of the provision of professional services."
 - * The 2005 version of §150.002 added the requirement that an expert providing the affidavit must hold the **same professional license as the defendant.**
 - * "Any Action" included Arbitration under §150.002.
 - * "Design professional" changed to "licensed or registered professional" which added registered professional land surveyors to the list of types of Defendants the statue cover. It also applies the certificate of merit requirement to any firms in which a licensed professional practice in.
 - * Failure to comply with §150.002 resulted in **mandatory** dismissal of the plaintiff's complaint. However, dismissal with prejudice remains within the discretion of the court.

2009 Amendments

□ The most notable change from the 2005 version:

\$150.002(b): Expert Affidavit no longer requires the factual basis for "at least one negligent act, error, or omission", but now for "each theory of recovery for which damages are sought, the negligence, if any, or other action, error or omission of the licensed or registered professional in providing the service...and the factual basis for each such claim."

* Inclusion of the words "each" and "or" appears to clearly encompass more than just negligence claims, but also those sounding in tort or contract.

2009 Amendments

DOES THAT MEAN ALL CLAIMS AGAINST LICENSED AND REGISTERED PROFESSIONALS MUST REQUIRE THE STATUTORY AFFIDAVIT TO ACCOMPANY IT?

No, only those claims that **arises out** of the provision of **professional services** if the claim **implicates** the professional's education, training and experience in applying special knowledge of judgment.

- ► However, Texas Courts apply a broad interpretation in in its applicability to various causes of action.
 - See Capital One, N.A. v. Carter & Burgess, Inc., 344 S.W.3d 477 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2011, no pet.) where Plaintiff sued Defendants for misrepresentation and Court held that Statute applies because Defendants alleged false representations were made as part of Defendant's performing a professional service necessary for the ... completion of its engineering services an activity that expressly constitutes the practice of engineering.

Professional Services

▶ (2) "Practice of architecture" has the meaning assigned by Section 1051.001, Occupations Code.

(3) "Practice of engineering" has the meaning assigned by Section 1001.003, Occupations Code.

2009 Statute – Covered Parties

□ DEFENDANTS COVERED:

- □ Licensed architects;
- □ Licensed professional engineers;
- Registered professional land surveyor;
- □ Registered landscape architect; or
- Any firm in which such licensed or registered professional practices, including but not limited to a corporation, professional corporation, limited liability corporation, partnership, limited liability partnership, sole proprietorship, joint venture, or any other business entity.

2009 Statute – Affiant Qualifications

- A third-party licensed architect, licensed professional engineer, registered landscape architect, or registered professional land surveyor who:
 - □ Is competent to testify
 - □ Holds the same professional license or registration as the Defendant*
- Affiant now required to be "knowledgeable in the area of practice of the defendant and offer testimony based on the affiant's:
 - □ Knowledge;
 - □ Skill;
 - Experience;
 - Education;
 - Training; and
 - Practice

^{*} No longer required to be "practicing in the same area of practice as the defendant"

2019 Amendments

- □ Enacted by Senate Bill 1928
- □ Effective June 10, 2019
- Very impactful on Third-Party practice
- Expanded scope of pleadings that must be filed with a Certificate of Merit
- Expanded the types of parties who must file a Certificate of Merit
- Changed one affiant requirement back to pre-2009 language

2019 Amendments – Why?

Response to decisions in *Engineering and Terminal Services, L.P. v. TARSCO, Inc.* and *Orcus Fire Protection, LLC.* and *Jaster v. Comet II Construction, Inc.*

- ▶ ETS (2017): Trial court dismissal of third-party claims reversed because appellate court reasoned that, had the Texas Legislature intended the certificate of merit requirement to apply to a party filing a third-party claim it could have used the broader term "claimant" instead of the using language that ties the requirement solely to the pleading that initiates the lawsuit.
- ▶ Jaster (2014): Supreme Court held that section 150.002 does not apply to third-party plaintiffs seeking indemnity and contribution because the affidavit requirement is limited to actions "for damages."

Jaster, 438 S.W.3d 556 (Tex. 2014) ETS, 525 S.W. 3d 394 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2017, pet. denied)

2019 Amendments - Definitions

2009 Statute:

In any action or arbitration proceeding for damages arising out of the provision of professional services by a licensed or registered professional, the **plaintiff** shall be required to file with the **complaint** an affidavit of a third-party licensed architect, licensed professional engineer, registered landscape architect, or registered professional land surveyor...

- Courts have construed "plaintiff" to mean the original plaintiff
- "Complaint" has been interpreted to mean the original petition or any amendment or supplement that, for the first time, brings an applicable cause of action
- ▶ 2019 Amendments include two new definitions that impact this analysis

2019 Amendments - Definitions

2019 Amendment replaces "Plaintiff" with "Claimant" and defines "Claimant":

► (1-a) "Claimant" means a <u>party</u>, including a plaintiff <u>or third-party</u> <u>plaintiff</u>, seeking recovery for damages, <u>contribution</u>, <u>or indemnification</u>.

2019 Amendment defines "Complaint" for the first time:

▶ (1-b) "Complaint" means <u>any petition or other pleading</u> which, for the first time, raises a claim against a licensed or registered professional for damages arising out of the provision of professional services by the licensed or registered professional.

2019 Amendments

<u>OLD</u>

...the plaintiff shall be required to file with the complaint an affidavit of a third-party licensed architect, licensed professional engineer, registered landscape architect, or registered professional land surveyor who...

<u>NEW</u>

...a claimant shall be required to file with the complaint an affidavit of a third-party licensed architect, licensed professional engineer, registered landscape architect, or registered professional land surveyor who...

2019 Amendments – Affiant Qualifications

<u>OLD</u>

(3) is **knowledgeable** in the area of practice of the defendant...

NEW

(3) **practices** in the area of practice of the defendant...

2019 Amendments - Summary

- Certificate of Merit requirements expressly applies to Third-Party Plaintiffs, and appears to apply to Counter-Plaintiffs, Cross-Plaintiffs, Intervenors, and any other Party asserting a claim for the first time
- Requirements now apply to "any petition or other pleading"
- ► Affiant must be actively practicing in the applicable area → no more retirees or professional experts
- Definition of "claimant" includes those asserting claims for indemnification and contribution

2019 Amendments – Applicability to Existing Cases

Enabling language of Senate Bill 1928:

The change in law made by this Act applies only to an <u>action</u> or arbitration proceeding commenced on or after the effective date of this Act. An action or arbitration proceeding commenced before the effective date of this Act is governed by the law in effect immediately before the effective date of this Act, and that law is continued for that purpose.

Effective Date: June 10, 2019

Which version of statute applies to Third-Party Petitions, Counter-Claims, Cross-Claims, etc., filed AFTER June 10, 2019 in cases that were initiated BEFORE June 10, 2019?

Key question: What is an "action"?

2019 Amendments – Applicability to Existing Cases

- ► Third-Party Defendants filing Motions to Dismiss and using this enabling language to argue that "action" means "claim" or "cause of action" not the initiation of the lawsuit.
- Jaster held that the "common meaning of the term 'action' refers to an entire lawsuit or cause or proceeding, not to discrete claims or causes of action asserted within a suit, cause, or proceeding."
- Supreme Court reasoned that the legislature could have utilized the term "cause of action" or "claim" instead of "action" if it wanted to include third-party claims. Court will not rewrite text that lawmakers chose.

Texas' Certificate of Merit Statute

Best Practices:

- Don't risk it get a Certificate of Merit before initiating any applicable claim
- If approaching limitations deadline, use diligence in trying to get an affidavit → will help support arguments for application of "good cause" exception
- Conduct discovery and file Motion to Dismiss promptly, or risk waiver

Texas' Certificate of Merit Statute

Elliott Cooper Shabaz Nizami

Elliott.cooper@cooperscully.com Shabaz.nizami@cooperscully.com

15th Annual Construction Symposium

