Engineering Investigation o Common and Uncommor erils Presented to: Cooper & Scully 26th Annual Insurance Workshop April 5, 2019

0

E

ς

Ε

R



NELSON R

Your questions. Our people. Expert solutions.

LICENSED EXPERTS NATIONWIDE

delivering unparalleled responsiveness and superb quality from 12 strategic locations

Nelson's engineers, architects, and scientists identify damage and develop remediation solutions for buildings, equipment, and other property caused by natural perils, inadequate maintenance and misuse, and design and construction errors.

Nelson is the forensic industry's respected, independent, and objective source for solving its clients' complex problems.

VISIT US ON THE WEB

 \oplus

- **Browse Service Capabilities**
- **Request Forensic Services**
- **View Professional Papers**
- Request Expert CVs
- Find Continuing Education Opportunities
- Explore Career Opportunities
- a chatter () common perils



Nelson's professionals deliver exceptional client service; embrace advanced technologies; formulate (+)decisive and independent opinions; and report focused, properly researched, and technically accurate









Nelson Discovery Laboratory specializes in (+)fact in a dispute or for scientific analysis

Roof Sample Testing

- Roof Core Sampling
- Membrane Delamination
- Membrane Desaturation
- Water Column Testing
- Identification of Coal Tar vs. Asphalt Materials
- Identification of TPO vs. PVC Materials
- ASTM Standard Protocols

Water Infiltration Testing

- Determining Areas of Water Penetration
- **Evaluate As-Built Conditions** On-site
- Mock-up Evaluations

Infrared Imaging

- Electrical Systems and **Building Envelopes**
- Anomaly Identification
- Moisture Detection
- Certified Thermographers

developing customized tests to resolve questions of

Aerial Drone Reconnaissance and Photography

- Difficult Access Surveys
- Large and Complex Structures
- Collapse Documentation

Ground Penetrating Radar

- Concrete Evaluation
- Reinforcement and Void Detection
- 3D Imaging of Concealed Conditions

About the Presenter

Licensed Professional and/or Structural Engineer in 42 states, the District of Columbia, and the USVI

- M.S. in Civil Engineering Purdue University
- B.S. in Civil Engineering Purdue University
- B.A. in Chemistry Miami University
- CalEMA Safety Assessment Program
- Member ACI, ASCE, ASCE/SEI

NELSON FORENSICS





Andrew D. Harold, S.E., P.E. Executive Director of Operations

Goals

- Explore methodologies for evaluating damage to buildings which results from any number of perils
- Examine case studies for the investigation of claims related to the following perils:
 - Tornado
 - Hail
 - Fire
 - Hurricane

NELSON FORENSICS



What is a Peril?

A source of danger; something that causes loss, injury, or destruction

Examples of Common Perils (in Texas)?

- Weather (e.g., Hail, Wind, Ice/Snow, Freeze)
- Natural Disaster (e.g., Hurricane, Tornado)
- Foundation Movement
- Collapse
- Fire
- Water Intrusion
- Vehicle Impact
- Mechanical, Electrical, or Plumbing (MEP) Failure
- Design/Construction Defect
- Deterioration

What about Uncommon Perils (in Texas)?

- Earthquake
- Tsunami



Forensic Engineering

The application of engineering principles and methodologies to answer question of fact that may have legal ramifications.

- Randall Noon





Forensic Engineering and Technology

Why Utilize Technical Investigators?

- Targeted Expertise
- Forensic Discipline
- Industry Knowledge



Forensic Investigations





The Scientific Method

Define the Problem Collect Data **Document Observed Conditions** Photographs Field Sketches/Notes Perform Testing Sampling and Measurements Non-destructive (In Situ) Testing Destructive (Intrusive) Testing Laboratory Analysis of Extracted Samples Conduct Research Weather Data Reference Material (e.g., codes, design standards, manufacturer's literature) Perform Analysis Explain How/Why the Data Means What It Means Provide a Rational Basis for Conclusions Conclusions Grounded in Theory and Practice Recommendations



Types of Forensic Testing

Non-Destructive

Destructive



- Visual Observation and Sampling
- Photographs (Onsite and Aerial)
- Detailed Distress Survey
- Elevation or Plumbness Surveys
- Moisture Testing
- Infrared (Thermography)
- Water Spray Testing (Building Envelope)





Broom Lines Remaining from Crack Mapping Survey







Distress and Elevation Surveys









Electrical Capacitance (Impedance) Testing

Alternating Electric Field







Electrical Capacitance (Impedance) Testing





Nuclear Hydrogen Detection





Infrared Thermography





Standard Practice for Location of Wet Insulation in Roofing Systems Using Infrared Imaging¹

Table 1 – General Site Conditions for Infrared Roof Survey

Time of Survey	6:00 PM
Wind Velocity	8 mph
Outside Temperature	51 °F
Weather During Survey	Clear
Weather 24 hrs Prior to Survey	Sunny/Clear
Roof Surface Condition at Time of Survey	Dry





Isolated Anomaly











Possible Moisture Source



Weak Anomalies



Strong Anomalies





Infrared Image



Corresponding Visible Light Image



Infrared Image at Exterior Wall

Corresponding Visible Light Image





Infrared Image at Interior Wall



Corresponding Visible Light Image

Additional Examples of Non-Destructive Testing

- Moisture/Vapor Emission
- Hardness
- Ultrasonic
- Structural Analysis
- Load Testing
- Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)
- Soil Sampling and Testing
- Water Origin and Quality
- Mold
- Asbestos



Destructive or Non-Destructive?





Non-Destructive



Examples of Destructive Testing

- Moisture Probe
- Roof Coring and Sampling
- Wall (Veneer) and Finish Removal
- Concrete/Masonry Probe
- Concrete Coring
- Water Spray Testing
- Load Testing





Roof Coring and Sampling





Exterior Veneer Removal





Water Spray Testing



Testing Summary

- Gather the Data Necessary to:
 - Test Hypotheses
 - Objectively Support Conclusions
- Data Collection May Need to be Altered or Augmented Depending Upon Findings
- Focus Should be on Objectivity, Sound Technique, and Reproducibility
- Available Documents are also Data to be Used in Forming Conclusions

Case Study: Hail



Office, Warehouse, & Distribution Facility – Englewood, CO



Case Study: Hail





Case Study: Hail










































Weather Data

- NOAA (NCEI, SPC, NWS)
- Weather Stations
- Purchased Reports
 - CompuWeather
 - CoreLogic
 - Verisk Climate
- News/Media Outlets





http://www.spc.noaa.gov/climo/online/

TORR	St	NO. Orm	AA's Nation Pre	onal Wea dicti	ther Son	ervice Cen	ter
		Site N	tap				
Local forecast by "City, St" or "ZIP" City, St Go		2014	40929's Sto N	orm Report < 140928 I ote: All Rep	rts (120 Reports ports Are	00 UTC - 1 14093 Considere	159 UTC) 0 Reports > d Prelimina
f Find us on Facebook SPC on Facebook		Villere	SPC S	Storm Map upda	Rep ated at 1	orts fo	or 09/29
y @nwsspc	1	~	12			1	200
NCEP Quarterly Newsletter	5	7	L	ŀ		1	20
Home (Classic)	2.		100-	T	-	1	5 5
SPC Products	5	/	1	. •		2	51.
Current Watches		1	1				Same
Meso. Discussions		and	VI				-2
Conv. Outlooks		1.	> 1				FT
Fire Wx Outlooks		5	2	•		mound	1
RSS Feeds		1	12-1				1
E-Mail Alerts		1	11	7		}	John
Storm Reports		Pre la	275	\sim	1	2ª	and the
Storm Reports Dev.		TO	RNADO REPOR	RTS (2)		-	
NWS Hazards Map	nor	WI	ND REPORTS/	·II (23/4)	7	J . High W	ind Report /65
National RADAR		HA	IL REPORTS/L	G (32/0)		A Large H	fail Report (2"
Product Archive	Nationa	Weather S	Bervice			PRELIMIN	JARY DATA
Research	Storm	Prediction (Center Norn	han, Oklahoma	1	[
Non-op. Products			Tornad	o Report	ts (CS)	V) (Raw	Tornado
Forecast Tools	Time		Location	County	State	Lat Lon	
SPC Publications					and a second	COLUMN PROPERTY	FUNNEL CLO
SPC-NSSL HWT	1832		10 NNW DELTA	DELTA	co	3888 10815	TOUCHED THE
About the SPC							MINUTE. NO
SPC FAQ	2227		8 W CHAMA	RIO ARRIBA	NM	3689 10673	AND DESTRO
About Tornadoes	1		Ha	il Report	ts (CS)	V) (Raw I	Hail CSV
WCM Page	Time	Size	Location	County	State	Lation	
Enh. Fujita Page	inne	UILC	A E PAGOCA	county	orace	Lat Lon	
Public Tours	1900	175	SPRINGS	ARCHULETA	CO	3727 10695	LEAST SIX
Misc.			1 SSE				
Staff Contact Us	2020	100	HIGHLANDS RANCH	DOUGLAS	C0	3954 10496	(BOU)
SPC Feedback			HIGHLANDS				1000
	2026	100	RANCH	DOUGLAS	CO	3955 10497	(BOU)
TISA nov	2033	100	CENTENNIAL	ARAPAHOE	co	3960 10487	(BOU)
Government Valla Easy	2034	175	CENTENNIAL	ARAPAHOE	co	3960 10487	(BCU)
	2035	125	CENTENNIAL	ARAPAHOE	co	3960 10487	(BOU)
	2042	158	AURORA	ARAPAHOE	co	3970 10481	(BOU)

News (Print Version) (Google Maps) (More Info) 9/14 6KT+) dia. +) ONLY CSV)(?) Comments OUD VISIBLE FOR 3 MINUTES E GROUND FOR ABOUT ONE KNOWN DAMAGE. (GJT) TORNADO CAUSED ROOF DAMAGE)(?) Comments SIZE HAIL REPORTED BY AT PEOPLE. (GJT)



http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents





Figure 5 – Local Pressure Coefficients for Walls of Low-Rise Building with Varying Wind Direction (Holmes 1986)



Figure 8 – Local Roof Pressure Coefficients for Roof of Low-Rise Buildings (Holmes 1986)



2001 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook































Photographs by Others



Photographs by Others



Photographs by Others



Church – Pocatello, ID









Truss Details

- Approximately 36' span w/ 2' overhangs
- 8:12 pitch (i.e., vertical rise: horizontal run)
- 24" on center spacing
- 2x6 chords and webs, 1x8 collar ties
- 5/8" diameter bolt at visible web-to-chord connections
- Nailed collar tie connections and splices















Weather Data – NCEI (formerly NCDC)

- Snow data from 1950 present
- Only events with reported property damage
 - 3" 5" in October 2007
 - 2" 4" in November 2011
 - 3" 7" in November 2014
- Wind data from 1950 present
 - Multiple events with 58 81 mph wind speeds between 1989 present



Snow:Water Equivalent

- Heavy: 1:1-9:1
- Average: 9:1 15:1
- Light: > 15:1

(Roebber et al. 2003)

7" of wet snow (5:1) weighs approximately 7 psf.





Example of Water Yield from a Volume of Snow

©The COMET Program

Case Study: Fire








































Storage Bin Failure Analysis - North Dakota (Google Earth 2014)













R.R. #1 Morris ROG 1KO Manit

U.S. Patent No.

Meridian industries Limited hereby disclaims any liability for loss or damage attributable wholly or partly to the placement of this bin on an inadequate or happropriate surface, and any liability for loss or damage if the bin is used for any purpose except the storage of free flowing material such as granular fertures, seed, feed or grain. The density of this material shall not oxeced 80 pounds per cubic for UBEL INTERCEMENT.

INSTRUCTIONS Select a site which has good drainage, good access and room for future expansion.

CHECK LOCAL SOIL CONDITIONS and ensitient an adequate base is prepared to support

E: This bin has been

e vertical position upon a FIRM and LEVEL

shim the log pads to assure equal loading anchor the legs securely to the concrete he bin must be loaded or unloaded so th





















Figure 2. Typical flow pattern and problem with bulk granular materials such as grains.

TYPICAL FLOW PROBLEMS WITH GRANULAR MATERIALS



Figure 3. Typical bulk flow problems with meal products during discharge from hopper-bottom silos.



A. HANG-UPS B. DEAD POCKETS C. PIPING





Case Study: Defect



























Results of FEA Analysis by Others



























Summary

- Forensic engineering investigations can assist in providing resolution for claims or disputes
- A typical scope determine extent, causation, and/or responsibility; provide recommendations for remediation
- A proper investigation uses the scientific method to objectively solve a problem
- A rational basis should be established for conclusions via a properly substantiated analysis



Thank you!

Contact me at: aharold@nelsonforensics.com

www.nelsonforensics.com

877.850.8765

Ē

R

F

S

C

S

